IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF SEPTEMBER,
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G. BIKSHAPATHY

WRIT PETITION NO : 19295 of 1993

Between:

T.V. Satyanarayana S/o late T. Seetaramaiah
R/o 1-10-63, Ashoknagar,
Hyderabad

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Electronics Corporation of India Ltd., rep. by Managing Director
Cherlapally, Hyderabad.
2 The General Manager Instruments Group, ECIL
Cherlapally, Hyderabad
3 The General Manager Marketing, ECIL
Cherlapally, Hyderabad
4 The General Manager Personnel & Administration, ECIL
Cherlapally, Hyderabad

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ or direction calling for records, declare the interview dt: 2-2-93 held by respondent No.3 for promotion to 1991-92 series as illegal, discriminatory, malafide, null and void direct respondents 1 to 3 to promote me as Senior Accounts Officer in 1991-92 series, consequently declare the proposed interview on 23-12-93 notified in Letter under ref. ECIL:IG (per) dt: 8-12-93 as illegal

Counsel for the Petitioner : MR.M.SURENDER RAO

Counsel for the Respondents : MR.K.SRINIVASAMURTHY

The Court made the following :

O R D E R :

This matter relates to the promotion of petitioner to the post of Senior Accounts Officer from the post of Accounts Officer.

2. The case of the petitioner is that he was promoted to the post of Accounts Officer on 1.2.1987 and became eligible for promotion to the post of Senior Accounts Officer in the panel of 1990-91. However, he was not called for an interview. At that point of time, this Writ Petition was filed.

3. In the counter filed on behalf of the management, it is stated that the petitioner did not acquire the necessary marks in the interview and therefore his case was not considered.

4. Be that as it may, in the subsequent years, if the petitioner gets appropriate marks, the management ought to have considered his case.

5. Under those circumstances, the Writ Petition is disposed of directing the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner if he fulfills the conditions required for the post of Senior Accounts Officer. No costs.

_____

G. BIKSHAPATHY, J

Dated:02-09-2004

ccm

To

1. The Managing Director, Electronics Corporation of India Ltd.,
   Cherlapally, Hyderabad.
2 The General Manager Instruments Group, ECIL
   Cherlapally, Hyderabad
3 The General Manager Marketing, ECIL

Cherlapally, Hyderabad

4 The General Manager Personnel & Administration, ECIL
Cherlapally, Hyderabad.

5. 2 CD Copies